

1 Asymptotics Introduction

Give the runtime of the following functions in Θ notation. Your answer should be as simple as possible with no unnecessary leading constants or lower order terms.

```
private void f1(int N) {
    for (int i = 1; i < N; i++) {
        for (int j = 1; j < i; j++) {
            System.out.println("hello tony");
        }
    }
}

```

$\Theta(___)$

Solution: $\Theta(N^2)$

Explanation: $1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + \dots + N = \Theta(N^2)$

```
private void f2(int N) {
    for (int i = 1; i < N; i *= 2) {
        for (int j = 1; j < i; j++) {
            System.out.println("hello hannah");
        }
    }
}

```

$\Theta(___)$

Solution: $\Theta(N)$

Explanation: $1 + 2 + 4 + 8 + \dots + N = \Theta(N)$

Here is a video walkthrough of both parts.

2 Disjoint Sets

For each of the arrays below, write whether this could be the array representation of a weighted quick union with path compression and explain your reasoning.

i:	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

A. a[i]:	1	2	3	0	1	1	1	4	4	5
B. a[i]:	9	0	0	0	0	0	9	9	9	-10
C. a[i]:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	-10
D. a[i]:	-10	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	6	2
E. a[i]:	-10	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	6	8
F. a[i]:	-7	0	0	1	1	3	3	-3	7	7

Solution:

- A. Impossible: has a cycle 0-1, 1-2, 2-3, and 3-0 in the parent-link representation.
- B. Impossible: the nodes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 must link to 0 when 0 is a root; hence, 0 would not link to 9 because 0 is the root of the larger tree.
- C. Impossible: tree rooted at 9 has height 9 $> \lg 10$.
- D. Possible: 8-6, 7-1, 6-1, 5-1, 9-2, 3-0, 4-0, 2-0, 1-0.
- E. Impossible: tree rooted at 0 has height 4 $> \lg 10$.
- F. Impossible: tree rooted at 0 has height 3 $> \lg 7$.

Here is a video walkthrough of the solution.

3 Asymptotics of Weighted Quick Unions

For this problem, we will be addressing the asymptotics of Weighted Quick Unions! For all big Ω and big O bounds, give the *tightest* bound possible.

- (a) Suppose we have a Weighted Quick Union (WQU) without path compression with N elements.

1. What is the runtime, in big Ω and big O , of `isConnected`?

$\Omega(\text{-----})$, $O(\text{-----})$

2. What is the runtime, in big Ω and big O , of `connect`?

$\Omega(\text{-----})$, $O(\text{-----})$

Solution:

1. $\Omega(1)$, $O(\log(N))$

2. $\Omega(1)$, $O(\log(N))$

- (b) Suppose for the following problem we add the method `addToWQU` to the WQU class. Simply put, the method takes in a list of `elements` and randomly `connects` elements together. Assume that all the `elements` are disconnected before the method call, and the `connect` method works as described in lecture.

```

1 void addToWQU(int[] elements) {
2     int[][] pairs = pairs(elements);
3     pairs = shuffle(pairs);
4     for (int[] pair: pairs) {
5         connect(pair[0], pair[1]);
6     }
7 }
```

In a bit more detail, the `pairs` method accepts an array and returns an ordered array of *all* unique pairs, where each pair is a 2 element array. For instance,

```
1 pairs(new int[]{1, 2, 3})
```

would return

```
1 {{1, 2}, {1, 3}, {2, 3}}
```

The `shuffle` method shuffles the ordering of the elements, and returns a new array. For instance,

```
1 shuffle(new int[]{{1, 2}, {1, 3}, {2, 3}})
```

might return

```
1 {{1, 3}, {2, 3}, {1, 2}}
```

Assume, for simplicity, that `pairs` and `shuffle` run in constant time (admittedly this couldn't be the case, but assume so for the sake of this problem).

What is the runtime of `addToWQU` in big O ? For this and all remaining subparts you may write your answer in terms of N , where N is `elements.length`.

addToWQU runtime: $O(\text{-----})$

Solution:

addToWQU runtime: $O(N^2 \log(N))$

For the remainder of this problem, suppose we are using the modified version of `addToWQU` as defined below. Note the only difference is the added if condition.

```

1 void addToWQU(int[] elements) {
2     int[][] pairs = pairs(elements);
3     pairs = shuffle(pairs);
4     for (int[] pair: pairs) {
5         if (size() == elements.length) {
6             return;
7         }
8         connect(pair[0], pair[1]);
9     }
10 }
```

Assume the method `size` calculates the size of the largest connected component and runs in constant time (this can be easily implemented with adding an instance variable to the class).

- (c) What is the runtime of `addToWQU` in big Ω and big O ?

$\Omega(\text{-----})$, $O(\text{-----})$

Solution:

$\Omega(N)$, $O(N^2 \log(N))$

- (d) Let us define a **matching size connection** as connecting two trees, i.e. components in a WQU, together of matching size. For instance, suppose we have two trees, one with values 1 and 2, and another with the values 3 and 4. Calling `connect(1, 4)` is a matching size connection since both trees are the same size.

What is the **minimum** and **maximum** number of matching size connections that can occur after executing `addToWQU`. Assume N , i.e. `elements.length`, is a power of two. Your answers should be exact.

minimum: _____, maximum: _____

Solution:

minimum: 1, maximum: $N - 1$

Here is a video walkthrough of all parts of this problem.